



Organisation name Cambridge Academy of English Summer Courses for Young Learners									
Inspection date	on date 26–28 July 2016								
Section standard			Met	Not met					
Management: The manaits students, in accordan Declaration of legal and	$\boxtimes$								
Resources and enviror support and enhance the will offer an appropriate	$\boxtimes$								
Teaching and learning: will be given sufficient su of their students. Program students. The teaching of	neets the needs or the benefit of	$\boxtimes$							
care, information and leignovided will be suitable;	and student services: The needs of students for security, pastoral rmation and leisure activities will be met; any accommodation will be suitable; the management of the accommodation systems to the benefit of students.								
Care of under 18s sect	on	N/a	Met	Not met					
students under the age of	provision for the safeguarding of for the safeguarding of the safeguarding of the provided.			$\boxtimes$					
Recommendation									
	reditation be placed under review be view to be ended by a spot check ta								
Summary statement									
The summary statement	has been withdrawn and should not	be used.							

# Organisation profile: multicentre

# 1. Collated data for whole organisation (including eligible centres not inspected)

Inspection history	Dates/details
First inspection	2012
Last full inspection	2012
Subsequent spot check (if applicable)	2013
Subsequent supplementary check (if applicable)	N/a
Subsequent interim visit (if applicable)	N/a
Current accreditation status	Accredited
Other related non-accredited activities (in brief) by this multicentre organisation	N/a
Other related accredited schools/centres/affiliates	Cambridge Academy of English, Girton, year-round school
Other related non-accredited schools/centres/affiliates	N/a

# **Private sector**

Date of foundation	1975
Ownership	Kamiya Schools Limited Japanese educational trust Company number: 01506242
Other accreditation/inspection	N/a

Premises profile
Address of HQ

Address of HQ	65 High Street, Girton, Cambridge CB3 0QD
Addresses of centres offering ELT at the time of the inspection	Princess Helena College, Preston, Hertfordshire SG4 7RT Old Buckenham Hall, Brettenham Park, Ipswich IP7 7RT Moreton Hall, Mount Road, Bury St Edmunds IP7 7PH
Addresses of any additional centres not open or offering ELT at the time of the inspection	None.
Profile of sites visited	The teenage homestay courses are based in the year-round Girton school. The teenage programme has exclusive use of four large classrooms and an office around a courtyard, behind the main school buildings. There is an arts room in an adjacent building and students can use the canteen, the social club, the computer room, the common room and the external areas with wooden benches.
	Princess Helena College is a year-round girls' boarding school. In the main school building Cambridge Academy of English (CAE) uses a large course office, a course director's office, the hall, the library, the drawing room, the canteen, an activities resource room, the tuck shop, a den, and residential accommodation on separate floors for boys and girls. In the teaching block there are eight classrooms, a computer room, a director of studies' office and a teachers' resources room. External areas used include a lawn, the sports hall and gym, the tennis courts and the swimming pool. The centre also used a boarding house in the grounds at the busiest time of the summer.

Student profile	Collated totals at time of inspection in all centres	Collated totals in peak week in July in all centres
Of all international students, approximate percentage on ELT/ESOL courses	100%	100%
ELT/ESOL students (eligible courses)	At inspection	In peak week
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) 18 years and over	1	0
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged 16–17 years	30	33
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged under 16	100	157
Part-time ELT aged 18 years and over	N/a	N/a
Part-time ELT aged 16–17 years	N/a	N/a

Part-time ELT aged under 16 years	N/a	1	N/a				
Overall total of ELT/ESOL students show	vn above 131	1	190				
Minimum age	8	8	3				
Typical age range	8–17	8	8–17				
Typical length of stay	2–3 w		2 weeks				
Predominant nationalities	Italian Russia	French, n	talian, Fre	ench			
Staff profile		inspection: a	Collated total in peak week: all centres				
Total number of teachers on eligible ELT	courses 14	1	18				
Number teaching ELT under 10 hours/we	ek 0						
Number teaching ELT 10-19 hours/week	6						
Number teaching ELT 20 hours and over	/week 8						
Total number of administrative/ancillary s	taff 18						
Profile in week of inspection: collated total							
Professional qualifications				Total number of teachers			
Diploma-level ELT/TESOL qualification (	ΓEFLQ)		3				
Certificate-level ELT/TESOL qualification	(TEFLI)		10				
YL initiated			0				
Qualified teacher status only (QTS)			0				
Teachers without appropriate ELT/TESO	L qualifications		1				
Total			14				
These figures exclude the academic m	anagers.						
Comments							
These figures exclude the directors of stu	dies (DoSs) not teachi	ng in the week of t	he inspec	tion.			
Course profile (across all centres covered by this accreditation)							
Eligible activities	Summer	Other times	of year	Other - N/a			

Eligible activities	Sum	nmer	Other tim	es of year	Other - N/a			
	Run	Seen	Run	Seen	Run	Seen		
General ELT for adults								
General ELT for juniors (under 18)	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$						
English for academic purposes (excludes IELTS preparation)								
English for specific purposes (includes English for Executives)								
Teacher development (excludes award-bearing courses)								
ESOL skills for life/for citizenship								
Other								

## Comments

The age range of students varies from centre to centre: teenage homestay courses at Girton (14 to 16 year-olds) teenage residential courses at Princess Helena College (14 to 17 year-olds) teenage residential courses at Old Buckenham Hall, Brettenham, Ipswich (12 to 15 year-olds) children's residential courses at Moreton Hall School, Bury St Edmunds (8 to 13 year-olds)

The Girton and Princess Helena College courses offer 20 hours of lessons per week, and the other two courses offer 15 hours of lessons per week.

#### 2. Data on centres visited

1. Name of centre	Girton
2. Name of centre	Princess Helena College
3. Name of centre	
4. Name of centre	
5. Name of centre	

Student profile		t inspection	n: the	se	Totals in peak week:				
Student prome	centres				these	these centres			
Centres	1	2			1	2			
Of all international students, approximate percentage on ELT/ESOL courses	100	100			100	100			
ELT/ESOL students (eligible courses)	At inspe	ction			In peak	week			
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) 18 years and over	0	1			0	0			
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged 16–17 years	4	25			4	29			
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged under 16	11	40			13	60			
Part-time ELT aged 18 years and over	0	0			0	0			
Part-time ELT aged 16–17 years	0	0			0	0			
Part-time ELT aged under 16 years	0	0			0	0			
Overall total of ELT/ESOL students shown above	15	66			17	89			
Minimum age	14	14			14	14			
Typical age range	14–16	14–17			14–16	14–17			
Typical length of stay (weeks)	3	2			3	2			
Predominant nationalities	Italian, F	rench, Ru	ussiar		Italian,	French			

Staff profile	At inspection		In pe	eak week					
Total number of teachers on eligible ELT courses	2	6			2	8			
Number teaching ELT under 10 hours/week	0	0			0	0			
Number teaching ELT 10–19 hours/week	0	0			0	0			
Number teaching ELT 20 hours and over/week	2	6			2	8			
Total number of administrative/ancillary staff	5	9			5	11			·

## Academic staff qualifications to teach ELT/TESOL

Profile in week of inspection: at these centres						
Professional qualifications	Total number of teachers					
Diploma-level ELT/TESOL qualification (TEFLQ)	0	1				
Certificate-level ELT/TESOL qualification (TEFLI)	2	4				
YL initiated	0	0				
Qualified teacher status only (QTS)	0	0				

Rationale(s) required for teachers without appropriate ELT/TESOL qualifications	0	1		
Total	2	6		

These figures exclude the academic managers.

Comments

None.

Accommodation profile										
Numbers at time of inspection: at these centres										
Types of accommodation			Adult	S			Un	der 1	8s	
Arranged by provider/agency										
Homestay	0	0				15	0			
Private home	0	0				0	0			
Home tuition	0	0				0	0			
Residential	0	1				0	65			
Hotel/guesthouse	0	0				0	0			
Independent self-catering e.g. flats, bedsits, student houses	0	0				0	0			
Arranged by student/family/guardian										
Staying with own family	0	0				0	0			
Staying in privately rented rooms/flats	0	0				0	0			
Overall totals adults/under 18s	0	1				15	65			
		1								
Centres	1	2	3	4	5					
Overall total adults + under 18s	15	66								

Centres	1	2	3	4	5
Overall total adults + under 18s	15	66			

## Introduction

Cambridge Academy of English (CAE) is a well-established language school that runs courses for adults (17+) and for closed groups of under 18s throughout the year. The school has run teenage homestay courses from its yearround Girton premises since 1990. By 2012 CAE was also running three residential summer courses in preparatory and boarding schools, so the school sought and received separate accreditation for its young learner summer course provision in that year. The young learner summer courses in Girton take place in a purpose-built extension in the grounds of the main school, premises consist of four large classrooms and an office around a courtyard.

#### In 2016 the provider offered:

three three-week teenage homestay courses at Girton, Cambridge (14 to 16 year-olds) two two-week residential course at Princess Helena College (PHC), Preston, near Hitchin (14 to 17 year-olds) two two-week residential courses at Old Buckenham Hall, Brettenham, Ipswich (12 to 15 year-olds)

two two-week residential courses at Moreton Hall School, Bury St Edmunds (8 to 13 year-olds).

CAE continues to limit the number of students speaking the same first language and does not accept large group bookings on young learner summer courses. All centres offer a fully-inclusive package that includes lessons, accommodation, food, leisure programme, airport transfers and insurance. The courses are organised before the summer by CAE year-round staff who include the principal, the deputy principal, the director of young learner courses, the accommodation officer, the two DoSs of young learner courses, the activities manager, the young learners' administrator and other administrative staff.

In 2012 the Moreton Hall and Old Buckenham Hall centres were inspected and a spot check was conducted in 2013 of the teenage homestay courses at Girton. In 2016 the inspectors decided to visit the teenage homestay course in Girton and Princess Helena College, which was the biggest centre and had not been inspected in 2012.

The inspection took place over three full days. The two inspectors spent two days in Girton, one day reviewing management systems for all of the centres and the second day inspecting the teenage homestay courses. The last day was spent visiting the residential course at PHC, in Preston near Hitchin. At head office interviews were held with the principal, the deputy principal, the director of young learner courses, one of the two young learner DoSs, the accommodation officer, the activities manager, the young learners' administrator and other administrative staff. At Girton the course director was also interviewed. At PHC the course director, the DoS and the director of student services were interviewed. Focus groups were held with teachers, activity staff and students on both sites. All of the teachers teaching in the two centres were observed. One inspector visited two homestays in Girton and the residential accommodation in PHC. The inspectors held a round-up with the deputy principal and the director of young learner courses at the end of the final day.

# Management

Legal and statutory regulations

Criteria	See
Officia	comments
M1 Declaration of compliance	$\boxtimes$

#### Comments

M1 Sampling identified the following issue: photocopying guidelines were not on display by photocopiers in the Princess Helena College centre; the school should seek further advice from the relevant statutory/regulatory body or obtain independent legal advice.

Staff management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M2 Management structure				$\boxtimes$	
M3 Duties specified			N/a		
M4 Communication channels				$\boxtimes$	
M5 Human resources policies				$\boxtimes$	
M6 Qualifications verified			N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M7 Induction procedures				$\boxtimes$	
M8 Monitoring staff performance				$\boxtimes$	
M9 Professional development			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	

#### Comments

M2 The management structure is clear both at head office and in the centres. The director of young learner courses has overall responsibility for the provision, and is assisted by the deputy principal in the recruitment of summer staff. All of the four centres have a course director. At Girton the accommodation is arranged by the year-round accommodation officer and the academic management responsibilities are shared by the two DoSs. The leisure programme is organised by the activities manager. At PHC the course director is assisted by an on-site DoS and a director of student services.

M4 Communication works well informally at head office and in the centres. The course director, the other teacher and the young learner DoSs team work closely together in Girton. At PHC senior management meet each morning, as do the separate functional teams, before holding a general meeting with students. No minutes were available of meetings in the centres, with the exception of the activity team in PHC.

M5 Recruitment policy and procedures are clearly outlined, but the PHC centre was understaffed at the start of the first course. The DoS had had to teach a full teaching timetable in the first week and a social activity member of staff with teaching experience had filled another vacant teaching slot.

M6 Staff files did not contain a number of references for summer staff and some references did not refer to the applicants' suitability to work with under 18s. The copies of the staff qualifications for summer staff were not easily accessible and had not always been signed. Copies of safeguarding training certificates were also not available at head office for most PHC staff and were missing for some staff.

M7 Inductions in Girton for staff in all centres were carried out thoroughly.

M8 Appraisal notes for year-round staff include targets for the following year.

M9 Regular training is available for staff at Girton. Managers are active in safeguarding forums and local management and English UK special interest groups. CAE has helped year-round staff financially to further their qualifications.

Student administration					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M10 Administrative staff and resources		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
M11 Information on course choice		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
M12 Enrolment procedures					
M13 Contact details			$\boxtimes$		
M14 Student attendance policy					
M15 Students asked to leave course					
Comments M10 There are sufficient staff to handle to					
key facts sheets.  M13 Emergency contact details, includin are recorded on the parental consent for school's database. Relevant staff have recorded on the parental consent for school's database.	m part of the en	rolment form ar	nd the informati		
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M16 Action plan			N/a		
M17 Continuing improvement				$\boxtimes$	
M18 Student feedback and action					
M19 Staff feedback and action				$\boxtimes$	
M20 Complaints and action					
Comments					
M17 On the basis of feedback from management, staff and students, CAE develops detailed plans for discussion with host schools concerning timings and improvements for the next summer.  M18 Student feedback is gathered formally at the end of courses through a writing task. Earlier feedback is obtained through student council meetings. In Girton this meeting had not taken place until the third week of the first course, so no formal initial feedback had been collected. There is currently no template form to ensure that all aspects of course provision are covered in student council meetings.					

M19 Staff complete exit questionnaires and copies of these were seen from 2015.

Publicity

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M21 Accessible accurate language		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M22 Realistic expectations					
M23 Course descriptions		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M24 Course information	$\boxtimes$		N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M25 Costs		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M26 Accommodation		$\boxtimes$			
M27 Leisure programme		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M28 Staff qualifications	$\boxtimes$		N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M29 Accreditation			N/a		

#### Comments

Publicity consists of a printed brochure, a website, enrolment forms, a social media site and listings on ELT portal sites.

M21 The publicity is written in clear, accessible language and mini translation versions of the website are available in eight different languages.

M23 Course descriptions are clear and detailed for all courses. There is a separate entry for each residential centre in the brochure with bullet points and typical day timetables, and key facts sheet for young learner programmes. There is also a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section on the website.

M24 The times of classes and the number of hours taught are made clear. In 2016 CAE had, however, accepted students below the minimum age and above the maximum enrolment age on certain young learner courses.

M25 The programmes are fully inclusive and this is clearly stated and easy to find in course information.

M27 Sample timetables of leisure programmes are available in brochures and on the website.

M28 The publicity for PHC refers to 'our team of qualified and energetic teachers', when one of them had a qualification which does not meet Scheme requirements.

## **Management summary**

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. The management of the provision operates to the benefit of students and staff and largely in accordance with its publicity. Sampling of documentation revealed an issue in relation to the Declaration of legal and regulatory compliance which the provider has been asked to follow up. *Student administration* is an area of strength.

### Resources and environment

#### Premises and facilities

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
R1 Adequate space			$\boxtimes$		
R2 Condition of premises					
R3 Classrooms and learning areas			$\boxtimes$		
R4 Student relaxation areas and food					
R5 Signage and display					
R6 Staffroom(s)		$\boxtimes$			
Commonto					

## Comments

R1 Both sites have large, well-maintained grounds and rooms that comfortably accommodate the number of students and staff who use them.

R2 The Girton premises are in a very good state of decoration and repair and the PHC premises are adequately decorated and maintained.

R3 The classrooms at both centres are large, well ventilated and have natural light. The classrooms on both sites are free from extraneous noise.

R4 At Girton students can relax in classrooms, external areas with benches, the social club and the common room. At PHC students can relax in classrooms, in the hall, and in the drawing room and the library at certain times. At Girton students receive vouchers that they can use in the school canteen, while at PHC students take all meals in the school canteen and there is a tuck shop.

R6 In Girton teachers can use their classrooms, which all have computers, or congregate in the course office or in the year-round staffroom in the main school building. At PHC teachers have access to a large resources room and can use work stations in the course office.

Learning resources

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
R7 Learning materials for students		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R8 Resources for teachers		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
R9 Educational technology			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
R10 Self-access facilities					$\boxtimes$

R11 Library/self-access guidance					$\boxtimes$			
R12 Review and development								
Comments								
R7 Students do not receive coursebooks realia. R8 Teachers have a wide range of suppl to materials through the school's comput R9 Classrooms have either interactive w also write on whiteboards. Students have provided to teachers.	ementary mate ers that include hiteboards (IWE	rials that they ca project materia 3s) or projectors	an use on both s ls. s and computers	sites. Teachers	have access			
Resources and environment summary								
The provision meets the section standard enhance the studies of students and pro-					port and			
Teaching and learning  Academic staff profile				See				
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	comments	N/a			
T1 General education (and rationales)			N/a	$\boxtimes$				
T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications								
T3 Rationales for teachers			N/a					
T4 Profile of academic manager(s)		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
T5 Rationale for academic manager(s)			N/a					
Comments								
T1 One of the teachers does not have a Level 6 qualification. This teacher has engaged in post-school learning and has appropriate life experience. The rationale received was, therefore, accepted within the context of this inspection.  T2 One of the teachers in PHC does not have an ELT qualification that meets Scheme requirements.  T3 The rationale was accepted within the context of this inspection because of their previous TEFL experience, coupled with the fact that they had followed an initial TEFL training programme, even though the course did not fully meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have diploma-level qualifications. Both of them had been involved in the academic management of the Girton centre at different times of the summer. One of them had then become the DoS in a particular residential centre. The academic management responsibilities, including observations, in PHC were shared between one of the young learner DoSs and the diploma-level centre DoS.								
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dipmanagement of the Girton centre at differenticular residential centre. The academ	rent times of the nic managemen	e summer. One t responsibilities	of them had the s, including obse	en become the l	DoS in a			
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dipmanagement of the Girton centre at differenticular residential centre. The academ	rent times of the nic managemen	e summer. One t responsibilities	of them had the s, including obse	en become the I ervations, in PH	DoS in a			
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dipmanagement of the Girton centre at different particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner.	rent times of the nic managemen	e summer. One t responsibilities	of them had the s, including obse	en become the I ervations, in PH See	DoS in a			
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dipmanagement of the Girton centre at different particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learned Academic management	rent times of the nic managemen r DoSs and the	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	en become the I ervations, in PH	DoS in a C were			
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dipmanagement of the Girton centre at different particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner Academic management  Criteria	rent times of the nic managemen r DoSs and the	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	en become the lervations, in PH  See comments	DoS in a C were			
meet Scheme requirements.  T4 The two young learner DoSs have dip management of the Girton centre at diffe particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner  Academic management  Criteria  T6 Deployment of teachers	rent times of the nic management nic	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	See comments	DoS in a C were			
meet Scheme requirements. T4 The two young learner DoSs have dip management of the Girton centre at diffe particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner Academic management  Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers T7 Timetabling	rent times of the nic management nic	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	See comments	DoS in a C were			
meet Scheme requirements. T4 The two young learner DoSs have dip management of the Girton centre at diffe particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner.  Academic management Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers T7 Timetabling T8 Cover for absent teachers	rent times of the nic management nic	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	See comments	OoS in a C were  N/a			
meet Scheme requirements. T4 The two young learner DoSs have dip management of the Girton centre at diffe particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner Academic management  Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers T7 Timetabling T8 Cover for absent teachers T9 Continuous enrolment	rent times of the nic management nic	e summer. One t responsibilities diploma-level c	of them had the s, including obse entre DoS.	See comments	OoS in a C were  N/a			
meet Scheme requirements. T4 The two young learner DoSs have dip management of the Girton centre at diffe particular residential centre. The academ shared between one of the young learner Academic management  Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers T7 Timetabling T8 Cover for absent teachers T9 Continuous enrolment T10 Formalised support for teachers	rent times of the nic management r DoSs and the Not met	Met	of them had the s, including observentre DoS.  Strength	See comments	OoS in a C were  N/a			

To The school had experimented with some success with starting the lessons at PHC later in the morning after an activity session.

To The cover at the residential centres consists of the DoS, course directors or activity staff with teaching

qualifications. The DoS at PHC had had to teach for much of the first two-week course due to a shortage of teachers. T10 The centre DoS at PHC gave a lot of support to the three teachers who particularly needed it. There had been no young learner specific professional development sessions at either centre at the time of the inspection. T11 Formal observations had taken place for most teachers, but not for all of them in PHC.

Course design and implementation

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T12 Principled course structure			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
T13 Review of course design					
T14 Course outlines and outcomes				$\boxtimes$	
T15 Study and learning strategies			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
T16 Linguistic benefit from UK			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	

#### Comments

- T12 The course structure includes lessons based around selected projects. Other classes focus on excursion preparation lessons, British culture and electives. Guidelines for teachers are clear and examples of completed templates are located in the comprehensive teacher handbook.
- T14 Course outlines are available to students through student-friendly course plans, sometimes displayed on classroom walls, and lesson aims written on most whiteboards.
- T15 The project work of students helps them to record and review their learning and learners assess their progress against can-do statements.
- T16 Courses include strategies to ensure that students benefit linguistically from being in the UK. The range of nationalities on courses enables students to inhabit English-speaking environments. Pre and post-excursion lessons, and classes on British culture, ensure that students learn English outside the classroom and during their leisure activities.

Learner management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T17 Placement for level and age				$\boxtimes$	
T18 Monitoring students' progress				$\boxtimes$	
T19 Examination guidance					$\boxtimes$
T20 Assessment criteria					$\boxtimes$
T21 Academic reports				$\boxtimes$	
T22 Information on UK education					$\boxtimes$

#### Comments

- T17 Placement tests include a structure test, a writing task and an oral interview.
- T18 Students are helped to monitor their progress through portfolio work and by assessing themselves against cando statements at the end of each week.
- T21 Students receive an end-of-course report and a CAE certificate before departure.

#### Classroom observation record

Number of teachers seen	8
Number of observations	10
Parts of programme(s) observed	Lessons observed included project lessons, British culture lessons and electives.
Comments	

The two teachers teaching on the teenager homestay course were observed by both inspectors in order to ensure that the full range of lesson types was observed.

#### Classroom observation

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T23 Models and awareness of English in use		$\boxtimes$			
T24 Appropriate content		$\boxtimes$			
T25 Learning outcomes		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
T26 Teaching techniques		$\boxtimes$			
T27 Classroom management		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
T28 Feedback to students		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
T29 Evaluating student learning		$\boxtimes$			
T30 Student engagement		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
130 Student engagement					

## Comments

T23 Some teachers gave good presentations of lexis with clear explanations. Appropriate models of both spoken and written English were provided and many, but not all, teachers included individual word stress in vocabulary lists. T24 The content of most lessons was related to stated course objectives and in line with detailed class profiles in Girton. The class profiles in PHC were very basic.

T25 Nearly all lessons consisted of a coherent sequence of activities and learning aims or outcomes were made known to students through weekly plans or individual lesson aims written on whiteboards.

T26 Most lesson segments observed included opportunities for students to work purposefully in pairs and small groups. In the better classes good elicitation techniques were used and instructions were checked. In less effective lessons instructions were not checked and not understood by some learners.

T27 Whiteboards were generally well laid out with separate sections for lesson aims and new vocabulary items. Teachers effectively guided students to work in different groups at different stages of lessons.

T28 Good feedback techniques were used in stronger lessons. In weaker lessons many opportunities were missed to give oral feedback.

T29 Reference was made to previous learning in some, but not all, of the lessons observed.

T30 Students were generally fully engaged in lessons. Teacher language was generally appropriate for the level of students. Teachers managed classes effectively, and students spoke only English in classes.

### **Classroom observation summary**

The teaching observed ranged from very good to just satisfactory and most of the lessons were either good or satisfactory. Lessons were generally well planned and relevant to the needs of learners. Teachers used a good range of effective techniques to keep learners engaged throughout lessons. The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme.

# **Teaching and learning summary**

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Teachers are generally appropriately qualified and are supported by academic managers. Course structure is appropriate and well designed. Not all of the teachers had been formally observed during courses. The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme. *Course design* is an area of strength.

# Welfare and student services

## Care of students

our or students						
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a	
W1 Safety and security onsite		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W2 Pastoral care			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W3 Personal problems		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$			
W4 Dealing with abusive behaviour		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$		
W5 Emergency contact number		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$		
W6 Transport and transfers		$\boxtimes$				

W7 Advice			
W8 Medical and dental treatment	$\boxtimes$	N/a	
0			

#### Comments

W1 There is a good level of safety and security on both sites. At Girton measures are in place to mitigate the risks associated with having an open site, including appropriately placed CCTV cameras and monitors. The premises' risks assessments cover the risks associated with having juniors on an open site. Fire drills are carried out both at Girton and PHC and fire procedures are covered with new staff and students at induction. There is an appropriate number of first aid trained staff on both sites.

W2 All staff involved in the junior programme at the sites visited were committed to the pastoral care of the students and took their responsibilities very seriously. The student welcome pack includes information on who to talk to, together with photographs of the staff members concerned. The information is also covered at induction and the photographs are displayed on noticeboards. At Girton, homestay hosts receive good advice and guidelines on caring for under 18s. A prayer room is available at both sites.

W3 There are clearly identified staff to deal with personal problems. At PHC, the course director has specialist training. There are quiet spaces available for confidential discussions.

W4 A clear policy for dealing with abusive behaviour is available to staff. Students are made aware of the policy through school rules which are included in the welcome pack and are covered at induction. At Girton steps have been taken to address the school's responsibility relating to the Prevent strategy, but at PHC staff were less aware of the requirement.

W5 Students wear wristbands with the main school number where an out-of-hours answering service provides them with the emergency contact number. They are also issued with a student ID card which includes the emergency number.

## **Accommodation profile**

## Comments on the accommodation seen by the inspectors

At Girton, students are accommodated in twin rooms in homestays and these are situated within walking distance of the school. At PHC they are in dormitories accommodating between two to eight students. Boys and girls sleep on separate floors. One inspector visited two homestays at Girton and the residential accommodation at PHC.

Accommodation: all types

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W9 Services and facilities				$\boxtimes$	
W10 Accommodation inspected first			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
W11 Accommodation re-inspected				$\boxtimes$	
W12 Accommodation registers			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
W13 Information in advance				$\boxtimes$	
W14 Student feedback					
W15 Meals in homestay/residences		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	

## Comments

W9 The homestays offered all the required facilities and services. The homes were comfortable and of a good size. The residences provided an appropriate environment.

W10 At Girton the accommodation officer has worked for the school for many years. She is very experienced and has had external training. There is comprehensive documentation in place for initial homestay visits and hosts are provided with good guidance on drawing up fire risk assessments. Accommodation lists for the residences are drawn up in advance and the course director at PHC commented that this made the arrival process smooth. W11 Homestay accommodation is re-visited every two years, but the accommodation officer has regular contact

with hosts when placing junior students. There is a pre-summer meeting with the host organisation for residential centres and accommodation requirements form part of the discussion.

W12 The homestay registers are kept up to date on a computerised system which the accommodation officer uses effectively. She is able to retrieve information easily on due dates for revisits, gas safety checks and fire risk assessments. There is comprehensive information on homestays including feedback from previous students. There are appropriate systems in place for allocating rooms to residential students.

W13 The confirmation letter for homestay students provides a good level of information about the family. Communication between the homestay host and the student is encouraged, by telephone or email.

W14 Students are made aware of who to speak to about any accommodation problems in their welcome pack, where the relevant staff member is pictured.

during the week. Lunches are provided by hosts at the weekend. At the residence the food was of an acceptable standard.							
Accommodation: homestay							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W16 No more than four students		$\boxtimes$	N/a				
W17 Rules, terms and conditions							
W18 Shared bedrooms			N/a				
W19 Students' first language			N/a				
W20 Language of communication			N/a				
W21 Adult to welcome			N/a				
Comments							
W17 Clear information is provided to hos advice and rules on hosting under 18s, v W18 It is made clear in publicity and in a	vhich include en	nergency proce	dures.				
Accommodation: residential							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W22 Cleaning							
W23 Health							
Comments							
W22 All rooms are cleaned daily.							
Accommodation: other							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W24 Information and support							
W25 Other accommodation			N/a				
Comments							
None.							
Leisure opportunities							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W26 Information and access							
W27 Leisure programmes			$\boxtimes$				
W28 Health and safety		$\boxtimes$					
W29 Responsible person			$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$		
Comments							

W15 Homestay students have breakfast and dinner each day with their hosts, and lunch from the school cafeteria

W26 At Girton students are offered a full programme of activities with one half-day and one full-day excursion each week. On Sundays there are optional activities available at the school in the afternoon. Students in the focus group said they would like more variety in the evening activities and would like these to finish earlier than 22.30 as they said the programme was tiring. At PHC there is a varied programme of activities including a good range of sports and arts and crafts. Students have a choice of short early morning activities before their first lesson at 10.00 to ensure greater concentration in lessons.

W27 The social activities organiser at Girton and the director of student services (DSS) at PHC have clearly outlined procedures for organising the leisure programme, and providing activity staff with detailed information. At PHC there

are good sporting facilities available to the students, including a large sports hall and a swimming pool. The activity staff prepare session plans that are approved by the DSS. The programmes at both sites are well resourced and students at PHC spoke positively about the variety.

W28 There are detailed risk assessments in place for all activities and excursions. These are given to activity staff who are encouraged to update them as necessary. There are clear guidelines in place on how to respond to emergencies, and a good proportion of first aid trained staff.

W29 Both the social activities organiser at Girton and the DSS at PHC are qualified sports teachers. The DSS at PHC is also a trampoline coach and a lifeguard.

## Welfare and student services summary

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Students are provided with a good level of pastoral care with staff at both centres taking their responsibilities seriously. The needs of students for security are met. Management of the accommodation systems works to the benefit of students. The leisure opportunities provided are effectively managed and the range is appropriate. *Care of students* and *Leisure opportunities* are areas of strength.

#### Care of under 18s

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
C1 Safeguarding policy					
C2 Guidance and training				$\boxtimes$	
C3 Publicity					
C4 Recruitment procedures	$\boxtimes$		N/a	$\boxtimes$	
C5 Safety and supervision during scheduled lessons and activities	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
C6 Safety and supervision outside scheduled lessons and activities	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
C7 Accommodation	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
C8 Contact arrangements		$\boxtimes$	N/a		

# Comments

The advertised age range at PHC is 14 to 17 years. There was one 18 year-old student at PHC at the time of the inspection. At Girton the age range is 14 to 16 years.

C1 There is a comprehensive safeguarding policy, which all staff sign. The policy includes a code of conduct, three named designated safeguarding officers (DSOs), safer recruitment practices, and procedures for handling allegations and delayed suitability checks.

C2 Brief training is provided at induction and all staff are required to complete a basic online course before starting employment. At PHC the certificates were dated the week of the inspection, which was the third week of the course. The course director stated that many of the staff were unable to produce their certificates and she organised a time for them to complete the training. No safeguarding certificates were seen for teaching staff at PHC who had taught only on the first course. Homestay hosts receive a safeguarding update letter in their homestay pack and are encouraged to do basic online training. Records show that a few hosts have completed this. Two of the year-round DSOs have advanced training, the third has basic training, as do the DSOs at the centres.

C4 Not all staff at PHC had had suitability checks. The course director stated she had not been informed of this and consequently had not put appropriate measures in place. Not all summer school staff had references on file and some references did not refer to the applicant's suitability to work with under 18s.

C5 At Girton the junior courses are held in a separate block. Breaks are staggered so the junior students are able to collect their lunches before adult students. On both sites students have an appropriate leisure programme. However, student safety could not be assured at PHC as measures had not been put in place for staff without suitability checks. At PHC there was an 18 year-old in class with under 16s.

C6 Parental consent forms are the same for homestay and residential students. For homestay students there is no indication that they will be walking to and from their accommodation at various times of the day, including after evening activities. There are written procedures for ensuring that students arrive home by the 23.00 curfew time and hosts are requested to telephone the activity staff, who are on duty until midnight, if a student is late. The activity staff are then responsible for locating the student. However, inspectors were told that homestay hosts do not always adhere to the procedures. Conversations with the hosts visited confirmed this; in one instance the host went to bed before the curfew time and she said that her husband generally waited up until the students arrived

home. The second host stated that if it was after 23.00 they went and found the students in the local park, as it was the usual place for teenagers to go.

C7 At PHC there was an 18 year-old student in the same residential accommodation as 14 and 15 year-olds, where shared bathroom facilities were used.

## Care of under 18s summary

The provision does not meet the section standard. There is an appropriate safeguarding policy in place. Training is given at induction, but not all staff had completed the required online course before starting work. Residential staff do not all appear to have suitability checks in place. The rules covering the curfew time for homestay students are not always adhered to. An 18 year-old was in class and lodged with under 16s at the residential centre. There is a need for improvement in *Care of under 18s*.